Movember 18, 1964 Mr. Classort B. Adams Water Commissioner Coder Vailey Distribution System 162 South 400 Bast Cedar City, Utab The Street Administra Joe Melling called after your discussion and inquired about the acre-foot withdrawal limitation in Codar City Valley and the type of records peeded. A column should be included in your 1964 report showing the individual scre-foot per acre withdrawal of each irrigator similar to your last year's report. For assessment purposes, will you please revise column 8 from decreed acreage to quantity to be assessed. In this column, you can then add the acre-foot figure to be charged against each right. This figure should not include any sore-feet that is charged against an association, such as Billiog vell etc. In eddition, I believe a letter should be sent by the commissioner to those who over-pumped. It should be pointed out that their sere-foot withdrawal exceeded the 3 acre-feet per sere limitation under the edjudication. This would be useful to the vater users in planning future operations when the adjudication terms will be enforced. Enclosed for your consideration is a proposed letter which might be sent to such users if agreeable with you. Yours very truly, Donald C. Horseth Distribution Ingineer DOM / MA Enclosure CC: Mr. Joe Malling

Compilation of the 1964 water delivery records for Cedar Valley is being made for the annual report. Your individual account shows the following: Estimated irrigated screege\_\_\_\_ 1964 acre-foot withdrawal Acre-foot per acre use If you have exceeded 3 acre-feet withdraval per acre of irrigation, you should rectify your farming practice so as to conform with the established acre-foot limitation. We trust this will assist you in evaluating your water use and in making improvements when necessary. Yours very truly, Wayne D. Criddle DCM/Wh ENGINEER

Acc Mass Est Use unl	(12	11			0			ST BY
Account no s 1 through 9 assigned to surface water diversions from Coal Cree Based on total deliveries to company and the proportion of the shares owned Assessment on surface water use is levied against irrigation company. Betinated with the help of water user. Users with interest in joint wells and/or irrigation companies are not to bunless they have other rights. Total water delivered to farm divided by acreage reported as irrigated in 1	To Dennis Rower	Hauer, Clyme (2/3) Rollo, Ruel (1/3) c/o Denmis Bauer 258 S. 100 East Cedar City, Utah	(or/n)	Armstrong, Joseph D. (1/10) Enoch, Utah	Ashdown, Sidney (2/15) 45 E. 700 W. Cedar City, Utah	Ashcroft, Theron M(2/12) 369 So. 200 W. Cedar City, Utah		Hame of water user
mpany and is hevied r user.	64	ğ	5.5	288	38.98	348	no.	Water User's Claim
the proport against 1	313.81	29k.15	187.12	144.03	124.73	19.6	ac.ft.	WATER
proportion of the inst irrigation of the inst irrigation or the instantion companies	17.29				83	1.94	ac.ft.	Surface
ions from Coal f the shares or ion company. anies are not as irrigated	625,25			331.15	187.57	51.54	ac.ft.	1964
8 3 4	THO			170	Tyto	K	acres	ACREAGE Yrrigated
the va	607.96			0	124.73	0	ac.ft.	quantity to be h
individually	4.47			3.8	1.34	18	ac.ft./ac.	Water used in 1964 5/
gated 29 acres twice-44 acres leveled, no wabs	W.U.C. 125 on		See acct. #73	Bee acct #27	See sort #23	See acct. #35		Remarks